

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/582,674	06/12/2006	Herve Perron	128125	7417	
25944 OLIFF & BER	7590 03/09/200 PRIDGE PLC	9	EXAM	IINER	
P.O. BOX 320	O. BOX 320850			KOLKER, DANIEL E	
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1649		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/09/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/582,674
 PERRON ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 DANIEL KOLKER
 1649

	Examiner	Art Unit					
	DANIEL KOLKER	1649					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):						
(1) <u>Daniel Kolker (USPTO)</u> .	(3)						
(2) Ryan Brady (attorney for applicant).	(4)						
Date of Interview: 05 March 2009.							
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	t)⊠ applicant's representative	•]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) <u></u> No.						
Claim(s) discussed: 2.8 and 9.							
Identification of prior art discussed: Roecklin.							
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <i>Discussed possible amendments to claim 2 to distinguish from prior art of record; discussed double-patenting relections of record, but no claim lanquage was agreed upon.</i> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVEN IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVEN IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/Daniel E. Kolker/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1649							